

THE CASE FOR FULLY-GUIDED INSTRUCTION

AN INFOGRAPHIC SUMMARY OF THE ARTICLE **PUTTING STUDENTS ON THE PATH TO LEARNING** BY RICHARD E. CLARK, PAUL A. KIRSCHNER AND JOHN SWELLER

AMERICAN EDUCATOR, SPRING 2012, PAGES 6-11

CREATED BY OLIVER CAVIGLIOLI WITH PAUL KIRSCHNER





ONCER

Minimal-guidance instruction affects the less able students far more than the more able. Because of their smaller background knowledge, their working memories soon become overloaded.

4

5

6

THE ACHIEVEMENT GAP WIDENS

Most alarmingly, many such students score lower in post lesson tests than they did before the lesson started. So not only does cognitive overload prevent learning, it seems even to negate existing learning.



DUE TO



What cognitive scientist, Paul Kirschner, identified in 1991 as...

THE CONSTRUCTIVIST TEACHING FALLACY

Confusing a theory of how experts learn (epistemology), with a prescription of how to teach novices (pedagogy)

RESULTING IN

MINIMAL-GUIDANCE METHODS IN

DISGUISE

Over the decades, minimal guidance approaches have been reborn as...

- discovery learning
- problem-based learning
- inquiry learning
- experiential learning.

And each time, we have to learn anew of its limitations, by ignoring all the previous evidence that invalidates this approach to teaching.

The goldfish syndrome?

7



Recent responses from champions of minimalguidance methods to this avalanche of invalidating evidence, is to state that poor results have been due to a lack of prior fullyguided instruction in the teaching process.

In other words, successful minimal-guidance methods need a platform of fullyguided instruction. Well... what a surprise!





Fully-guided instruction isn't the dull, prosaic alternative. It is enjoyable, effective and efficient, containing...



@olivercavigliol | teachinghow2s.com For free cognitive science HOW2s @P_A_Kirschner | Distinguished Professor Educational Psychology, Open Universiteit